FOR MYSORE STATE

REVENUE 1950 (195) Department

M.S. SERIES.

G.O. NO. 507

Dated 25-8-1950.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Village officers - Mutual transfers within the same district - permitted.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Subject.

Village officers - mutual transfers - Lifting up of ban.

**

Madras. Ref.A 4090/49-5 Dated 29-12-49.

**

Sri,A.F.Mascarnhas, Addl.Secretary,Board of Revenue.

Sri,S.Ranganathan,I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue.

**

READ;- B.P.Rt.1935 dated 12-4-46.
G.O.No.904 Revenue Department dated 26-4-46.

REFERENCE:

The Board invites the attention of the Government to their order Mis.No.904 Revenue dated 26-4-46 in which they have accepted the view expressed by the Board that the request for mutual transfers of village officers could not be considered for the reason that those who had claims and who were best suited to a particular village were appointed as village officer. The Board as at present constituted considers that the equitable view in the matter is that in non-hereditary areas, village officers may be allowed mutual transfer if it is likely to result in better efficiency. It therefore considers that when such mutual transfers will be advantageous to the administration, it may be allowed . The Board requests that Government may be pleased to issue order in the matter.

For Addl.Secy.

To

The Secy. to Govt.
Revenue Dept.

/True extract/

Superintendent.

nr.5/6
Compared by
1. U Ramadoss 6/6
2 T. A. Saroja

ABSTRACT.

Village Officers - Mutual transfers within the same district - permitted.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

G.O.Ms.No.507. Dated the 25the February 1950.

Read the following:-

G.O.Ms.No.904 Revenue dated 26-4-46.

Read also:-

From the Board of Revenue reference A 4090/49-5 dated 29-12-50
From the Board of Revenue reference A.4090/49-6 dated 4-2-50.

**

ORDER:-

The Government accept the recommendation of the Board of Revenue that, in non-hereditary areas mutual transfers of village officers may be allowed within the same district when such transfers will be advantageous to the administration The Collector of the District will be the authority to sanction such mutual transfers.

(By Order of His Excellency the Governor)

K.M.UNNITHAN.
Secretary to Government.

To
The Board of Revenue.

/True copy/

Superintendent.

nr.5/6

Revenue Department.

C.No.105 M/50) Village officers - Mutual transfers - Lifting up of ban - Proposal.

From the Board of Revenue Ref.A.4090/49-5 dated 29-12-1949.

**

To A.S.

Please see the Board's reference in the C.F.

2. In G.O.Ms.No.904 Rev., dated 26-4-46, the Govt. negatived a request of Mayavaram branch of Tamil Nad Village Officers Association for permitting mutual transfers. The reason then stated was that village officers were appointed in a village because they had claims for that particular office or were best suited for that village. The Board now considers that in the non-hereditary areas, if mutual transfers of village officers are likely to result in better efficiency such mutual transfers may be allowed. It is not clear what cases the Board contemplates in which mutual transfers can be allowed. For the sake of illustration it may be assumed that the village officers who desire mutual transfers are A, the headman of village X and B, the headman of village Y. Now, A has been selected as best suited for the village X and B has been selected as best suited for the village Y. According to B.S.O.149-6, the hereditary principle should be followed as far as practicable in making appointments to non-hereditary village offices also. It is therefore likely that A is the heir of the last officeholder of the office in village X. The same possibility is there in the case of B also. If the hereditary principle had been followed when A and B were appointed and If A and B have greater interest in the villages X and Y, respectively, than in any other village, it is hardly likely that their mutual transfer would result in better efficiency. But.

if it happens that A is more attached to the village Y and B to village X, having more interest there by way of property or family connections, then it may be desirable to put A in village Y and B in village X. Presumably the Board has such cases in mind. The Board has not said whether the benefit of mutual transfer should be restricted to men within the same district or whether it may be extended to men in different district also. Presumably, the Board's intention is that it should not be restricted to men in the same district. It is for orders whether the Boards m proposal may be accepted or whether the Board may first be asked to clarify it stating the circumstances in which, according to the Board, mutual transfers can be allowed and whether the concession should or should not be restricted to men in the same district.

The Board has already stated that mutual transfers may be allowed if it is likely to result in better efficiency. The Boards reason may be accepted. The next point is who should allow these transfers. We may lay down that these transfers may be allowed by the Collectors of Districts. It is presumed that the Board has in mind only mutual transfer within the para A This may be verified from Board.

To A.S.
A draft memo. is submitted for approval.

(Issued as Memo;No.105M/50-1 dated 19-1-50.)
(From the Board of Revenue Ref.A 4090/49-6 dated 4.2.50)

To A.S.
Please see the notes on pp.1-2 above., the memo. on.p.2 c.f. and its reference on p.3 c.f.

2. The Board agrees that mutual transfers of village officers may be only within the same district - Subject to orders, a draft order is submitted for approval.

True Extract
Marthandam
Supdt

(True extract)